



Using vector divisions in solving the linear complementarity problem

Youssef Elfoutayeni^{a,b,c,*}, Mohamed Khaladi^{a,b}

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakech, Morocco

^b UMI UMMISCO, IRD - UPMC, France

^c Computer Sciences Department, School of Engineering and Innovation, Private University of Marrakech, Morocco

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 November 2010

Received in revised form 19 June 2011

Keywords:

Linear complementarity problem

Vector division

Global convergence

Newton's method

Secant method

ABSTRACT

The linear complementarity problem $LCP(M, q)$ is to find a vector z in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $z^T(Mz + q) = 0, Mz + q \geq 0, z \geq 0$, where $M = (m_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are given. In this paper, we use the fact that solving $LCP(M, q)$ is equivalent to solving the nonlinear equation $F(x) = 0$ where F is a function from \mathbb{R}^n into itself defined by $F(x) = (M+I)x + (M-I)|x| + q$. We build a sequence of smooth functions $\tilde{F}(p, x)$ which is uniformly convergent to the function $F(x)$. We show that, an approximation of the solution of the $LCP(M, q)$ (when it exists) is obtained by solving $\tilde{F}(p, x) = 0$ for a parameter p large enough. Then we give a globally convergent hybrid algorithm which is based on vector divisions and the secant method for solving $LCP(M, q)$. We close our paper with some numerical simulations to illustrate our theoretical results, and to show that this method can solve efficiently large-scale linear complementarity problems.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The complementarity problem noted (CP) is a classical problem of the optimization theory of finding $(z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} \langle z, w \rangle = 0 \\ w - f(z) = 0 \\ z, w \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where f , a continuous operator from \mathbb{R}^n into itself, is given.

The constraint $\langle z, w \rangle = 0$ is called the complementarity condition since for any $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, $z_i = 0$ if $w_i > 0$ and vice versa. It may be the case when $z_i = w_i = 0$.

If f is a nonlinear continuous operator from \mathbb{R}^n into itself, the problem is called a Non Linear Complementarity Problem associated with the function f and noted (NLCP). The problem is called a Linear Complementarity Problem (LCP) associated with the matrix M and the vector q if the function f is in the form:

$$f(z) = q + Mz,$$

where q is an element of \mathbb{R}^n and M is an $n \times n$ real matrix. The linear complementarity problem plays an important role in several fields such as operations research, game theory [1] and economic applications [2,3].

* Corresponding author at: Computer Sciences Department, School of Engineering and Innovation, Private University of Marrakech, Morocco. Tel.: +212 524487008.

E-mail addresses: youssef_foutayeni@yahoo.fr (Y. Elfoutayeni), khaladi@ucam.ac.ma (M. Khaladi).

It is known (see [4,5]) that all the problems of linear programming (LP), convex quadratic programming (CQP), and the problems of Nash equilibrium of a bi-matrix game can be written as a linear complementarity problems. It is difficult to solve (LCP) for any given function f . The existence and the characterization of solutions is not easy in the general case. A fundamental question is, under what conditions on the matrix M and the vector q this problem admits one and only one solution, and, if this is the case, how can we express this solution as a function of the matrix and vector mentioned above. This question has not been completely solved yet. However, many results already exist, for instance Lemke [5] give sufficient conditions on the matrix M and the vector q under which the number of solutions of $LCP(M, q)$ is finite. Samelson [6], Ingeton [7], Murty [8,9], Watson [10], Kelly [11] and Cottle [12] have by contrast shown that the matrix M is a P -matrix if and only if the linear complementarity problem associated with a matrix M and a vector q has a unique solution for all $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (a matrix M is called a P -matrix if all principal minors are strictly positive (see [13]), recall that any symmetric and positive definite matrix is a P -matrix).

Many works are devoted to the construction of algorithms for solving linear and nonlinear complementarity problems in various situations (see [14] for a survey). One of the most popular methods is the interior point method (see [15–21] and references therein). Interior point algorithms are globally efficient and have good iteration complexity but they have, in general, the problem of finding a strictly feasible starting point.

In this work we propose a globally convergent hybrid algorithm for solving the Linear complementarity problem $LCP(M, q)$. We assume that the problem has a unique solution and we use the fact that solving $LCP(M, q)$ is equivalent to solving the nonlinear equation $F(x) = 0$ where F is a function from \mathbb{R}^n into itself defined by $F(x) = (M + I)x + (M - I)|x| + q$. We build a sequence of functions $\tilde{F}(p, x) \in C^\infty$ which uniformly converges to the function $F(x)$; and we show that finding the zero of the function F is equivalent to finding the zero of the sequence of the functions \tilde{F} .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly give some definitions and notations to be used throughout the paper. In Section 3 we write LCP in the equivalent form of solving a nonlinear equation. In Section 4 we construct a sequence a functions giving an approximation of the nonlinear equation and introduce the algorithm. In Section 5 we give some numerical examples and we give conclusions in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

This section is devoted to some notations and preliminary definitions.

Let \mathbb{R}^n be n -dimensional real Euclidean space and $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the set of all real $n \times n$ matrices.

We will use I to denote the identity matrix.

$x^T y$ or $\langle x, y \rangle$ is the inner product of the vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $\|x\|$ is the Euclidean norm.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and k a nonnegative integer, $x^{(k)}$ refers to the vector obtained after k iterations.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, x_i refers to the i th element of x , and $x_i^{(k)}$ refers to the i th element of the vector obtained after k iterations.

$\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \geq 0, i = 1 \dots n\}$ is the nonnegative orthant and its interior is $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i > 0, i = 1 \dots n\}$.

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the expression $x \leq y$ (respectively $x < y$) meaning that $x_i \leq y_i$ (respectively $x_i < y_i$) for each $i = 1 \dots n$.

The transpose of a vector x is denoted by x^T (with super script T).

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define $|x| = (|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and we denote by $e^x = (e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_n})^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ we denote by $\ln(x) = (\ln(x_1), \dots, \ln(x_n))^T$.

Recall that the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of the matrix A is the set of its eigenvalues and its spectral radius ρ is given by: $\rho(A) := \sup\{|\lambda| \text{ such that } \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$.

3. Equivalent reformulation of LCP

It is well known (see [22]) that the linear complementarity problem $LCP(M, q)$ is completely equivalent to solving the nonlinear equation

$$F(x) = 0$$

where F is a function from \mathbb{R}^n into itself defined by

$$F(x) := (M + I)x + (M - I)|x| + q.$$

More precisely (see [22]), if x^* is a zero of the function F , and

$$\begin{cases} z^* := |x^*| + x^* \\ w^* := |x^*| - x^* \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

then (z^*, w^*) is a solution of $LCP(M, q)$.

Conversely, if (z^*, w^*) is a solution of $LCP(M, q)$, then

$$x^* := \frac{z^* - w^*}{2}$$

is a zero of the function F .

The equation $F(x) = 0$ can be solved by the fixed point algorithm (see [23]), this algorithm is defined by:

$$\begin{cases} x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ arbitrary,} \\ x^{(k+1)} = (I + M)^{-1}(I - M)|x^{(k)}| - (I + M)^{-1}q. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

For the case that M is symmetric and positive definite, it was shown in [24] (see also Section 9.2 in [22]) that, for

$$D := (I + M)^{-1}(I - M)$$

we have

$$\|D\|_2 = \sqrt{\rho(D^T D)} = \sqrt{\rho(D^2)} = \rho(D) < 1,$$

hence, by the contraction-mapping theorem [25], the algorithm (3) converges and

$$x^* = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x^{(k)}$$

is the unique solution of the $F(x) = 0$.

Therefore,

$$w^* := |x^*| - x^*$$

and

$$z^* := |x^*| + x^*$$

defines the unique solution of the $LCP(M, q)$.

The convergence of the algorithm (3) is only linear. To improve the speed of convergence, it is obvious that we need to replace the above function F by a smooth one. In this paper, we exhibit a sequence of smooth functions converging to F and we use the vector divisions with the secant method (see [26]) to find an approximation of the solution of $F(x) = 0$. The obtained algorithm is globally convergent (see [26]).

4. The main result

Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$F(x) := (M + I)x + (M - I)|x| + q.$$

Consider the sequence of functions $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\tilde{F}(p, x) := (M + I)x + \frac{1}{p}(M - I) \ln(e^0 + e^{px} + e^{-px}) + q.$$

Then we have

Proposition 1. $\tilde{F}(p, x)$ converges uniformly to $F(x)$ when $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \ln(e^0 + e^{px} + e^{-px}) - |x| &= \frac{1}{p} [\ln(e^0 + e^{px} + e^{-px}) + \ln(e^{-p|x|})] \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \ln[e^{-p|x|} * (1 + e^{px} + e^{-px})] \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \ln(e^{-p|x|} + e^{p(x-|x|)} + e^{-p(x+|x|)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{p} \ln(e^0 + e^{px} + e^{-px}) - |x| \leq \frac{1}{p} \ln(\mathbf{3})$$

where $\mathbf{3}$ is the vector $(3, \dots, 3)^T$; so,

$$\frac{1}{p} \ln(e^0 + e^{px} + e^{-px})$$

converges uniformly to $|x|$ when $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

Moreover, the operator $(M - I)$ is linear, so we have

$$\frac{1}{p}(M - I) \ln(e^0 + e^{px} + e^{-px}) \text{ converges uniformly to } (M - I)|x| \text{ as } p \rightarrow +\infty$$

and from the expression of the sequence of the functions \tilde{F} and the function F we have

$$\tilde{F}(p, x) \text{ converges uniformly to } F(x) \text{ when } p \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Theorem 2. Let $x^*(p)$ be a solution of the equation $\tilde{F}(p, x) = 0$, then $x^*(p)$ is an approximate solution of $F(x) = 0$ for p large enough.

Proof. From the proposition above we have $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists p^* > 0$ such that for all $p > p^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x^*(p))\| &= \|F(x^*(p)) - \tilde{F}(p, x^*(p))\| \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So, $x^*(p)$ is an approximate solution of

$$F(x) = 0.$$

Remark 1. The uniqueness of the root of the function F results from the uniqueness of the solution of the linear complementarity problem $LCP(M, q)$; in fact, if x_1^* and x_2^* are two distinct roots of the function F , then

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^* &:= |x_1^*| + x_1^*, \\ z_2^* &:= |x_2^*| + x_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since $z_1^* = z_2^*$ (uniqueness of the solution of $LCP(M, q)$) then

$$|x_1^*| + x_1^* = |x_2^*| + x_2^*. \quad (4)$$

In the same way, for w_1^* and w_2^* , we obtain

$$|x_1^*| - x_1^* = |x_2^*| - x_2^* \quad (5)$$

so (4)–(5) means that $x_1^* = x_2^*$.

Now, we give the following algorithm for solving $\tilde{F}(p, x) = 0$ (see [26]):

Algorithm. Step 0: Determine $\epsilon, p, k^*, \rho, \sigma$ such that k^* is a positive integer, $0 < \rho < 1/2$, and $\rho < \sigma < 1$;

Step 1: Select two points $x^{(0)}$ and $x^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

Step 2: For $k = 1, 2, \dots$ until termination, do the following:

1. Compute the steepest descent direction

$$d^{(k)} := -J(p, x^{(k)})^T \tilde{F}(p, x^{(k)}),$$

where

$$\tilde{F}(p, x) := (M + I)x + \frac{1}{p}(M - I) \ln(e^0 + e^{px} + e^{-px}) + q;$$

$$J(p, x) := (M + I) + (M - I)E(p, x)$$

and

$$E_{ij}(p, x) := \delta_{ij} \frac{e^{px_i} - e^{-px_i}}{1 + e^{px_i} + e^{-px_i}};$$

we recall that $\delta_{ii} = 1$ and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

2. If k equals a multiple of k^* , then insert a steepest descent direction step, that is, let $s^{(k)} := d^{(k)}$ and go to step 2.7;
3. Compute:

$$\begin{cases} u^{(k)} := \xi_1 \tilde{F}(p, x^{(k)}) \\ v^{(k)} := \xi_2 (x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}) \end{cases}$$

with

$$\xi_1 = -\frac{\|x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}\|^2}{\langle x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}, \tilde{F}(p, x^{(k)}) - \tilde{F}(p, x^{(k-1)}) \rangle}$$

and

$$\xi_2 = -\frac{\langle \tilde{F}(p, x^{(k)}) - \tilde{F}(p, x^{(k-1)}), \tilde{F}(p, x^{(k)}) \rangle}{\|\tilde{F}(p, x^{(k)}) - \tilde{F}(p, x^{(k-1)})\|^2}.$$

4. If $(u^{(k)} - v^{(k)})^T d^{(k)} \neq 0$, then choose

$$\alpha^{(k)} > \frac{-\langle v^{(k)}, d^{(k)} \rangle}{\langle u^{(k)} - v^{(k)}, d^{(k)} \rangle}$$

such that $\alpha^{(k)}$ maximizes the value of

$$\text{Cos}[s^{(k)}, d^{(k)}] = \frac{\langle s^{(k)}, d^{(k)} \rangle}{\|s^{(k)}\| \cdot \|d^{(k)}\|};$$

set

$$s^{(k)} := \alpha^{(k)} u^{(k)} + (1 - \alpha^{(k)}) v^{(k)}$$

and go to step 2.7;

Table 1

Approximations of the solution of Example 1 by two methods.

	Iteration	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4
Fixed point method	$k = 01$	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000
	$k = 05$	0.7883251	0.0000000	1.3448593	0.0000000
	$k = 10$	1.0197946	0.0000000	0.9884737	0.0000000
	$k = 15$	0.9985643	0.0000000	1.0012907	0.0000000
	$k = 20$	1.0001030	0.0000000	0.9999377	0.0000000
	$k = 25$	0.9999918	0.0000000	1.0000058	0.0000000
	$k = 30$	1.0000005	0.0000000	0.9999997	0.0000000
	$k = 33$	0.9999999	0.0000000	1.0000001	0.0000000
	$k = 34$	1.0000001	0.0000000	1.0000000	0.0000000
	$k = 35$	1.0000000	0.0000000	1.0000000	0.0000000
Using vector divisions method	$k = 01$	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000
	$k = 02$	4.0000000	0.0000000	4.0000000	0.0000000
	$k = 03$	1.0000000	0.0000000	1.0000000	0.0000000

5. If $(u^{(k)} - v^{(k)})^T d^{(k)} = 0$ and $\langle v^{(k)}, d^{(k)} \rangle > 0$,
then set $s^{(k)} := \frac{u^{(k)} + v^{(k)}}{2}$ and go to step 2.7;
6. If $(u^{(k)} - v^{(k)})^T d^{(k)} = 0$ and $\langle v^{(k)}, d^{(k)} \rangle \leq 0$,
then set $s^{(k)} := d^{(k)}$ and go to step 2.7;
7. Take a line search along the direction $s^{(k)}$ to determine the step length γ such that

$$f(p, x^{(k)} + \gamma s^{(k)}) \leq f(p, x^{(k)}) - \gamma \rho \langle d^{(k)}, s^{(k)} \rangle$$
and

$$\langle \nabla f(p, x^{(k)} + \gamma s^{(k)}), s^{(k)} \rangle \geq -\sigma \langle d^{(k)}, s^{(k)} \rangle;$$
where

$$f(p, x) = \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{F}(p, x)\|^2$$
8. Set $x^{(k+1)} := x^{(k)} + \gamma s^{(k)}$ and go to the next iteration.

5. Numerical examples

In this section, we consider two examples to test the speed of convergence of our algorithm and its efficiency to solve a large scale problem. In a first example, we exhibit the number of iterations needed to obtain an approximation with 6 digits of the known solution and we compare it with the method based on the “Fixed Point”. In the second example, the matrix M_n of the problem is variable with the dimension n . For eight values of n between 10 and 2000 we give the number of iterations and the CPU time needed to obtain an approximation of the solution of each $LCP(M_n, q)$.

Example 1. Consider the following linear complementarity problem: Find a vector z in \mathbb{R}^4 satisfying $z^T(Mz + q) = 0$, $Mz + q \geq 0$ and $z \geq 0$, where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 3 \\ -4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that the above matrix M is positive definite.

We apply the “Fixed Point” and “Using Vector Divisions” methods to solve this example and compare it with the known exact solution $z^* = (1, 0, 1, 0)^T$.

When looking for an approximation with six significant digits, we obtain that (see Table 1), using the vector divisions method requires only 3 iterations and CPU time = 0.0010014 s. The Fixed Point method requires at least 35 iterations and CPU time = 0.653 s to achieve the same result.

Example 2. Consider the following class of linear complementarity problems [27]: For a given integer n , find a vector z in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $z^T(Mz + q) = 0$, $Mz + q \geq 0$ and $z \geq 0$, where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ 2 & 5 & 6 & \dots & 6 \\ 2 & 6 & 9 & \dots & 10 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 2 & 6 & 10 & \dots & 4(n-1) + 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ \dots \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is possible to prove that the above matrix M is positive definite.

Table 2

Number of iterations and CPU time with our method for Example 2 where $p = 30, x^{(0)} = (-1, 2, -3, \dots, n(-1)^n)^T$.

n	CPU	k	$\ \tilde{F}(p, x)\ $	$z^T(Mz+q)$
10	0.473	6	$6.77 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.98 \cdot 10^{-4}$
50	0.753	48	$8.43 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$4.72 \cdot 10^{-4}$
100	1.033	76	$8.85 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$6.78 \cdot 10^{-4}$
200	1.313	104	$4.13 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$5.18 \cdot 10^{-4}$
500	1.593	133	$7.12 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.03 \cdot 10^{-4}$
1000	1.873	161	$5.58 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$6.42 \cdot 10^{-4}$
1500	2.153	189	$2.76 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.89 \cdot 10^{-4}$
2000	2.433	218	$6.13 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.30 \cdot 10^{-4}$

As mentioned previously, for p large enough, the unique solution x^* of $\tilde{F}(p, x^*) = 0$ is an approximation of the unique solution z^* ($z^* = |x^*| + x^*$) of the linear complementarity problem. For this example we take $p = 30, x^{(0)} = (-1, 2, -3, \dots, n(-1)^n)^T$ and we test our method with different values of n .

The method was coded in Matlab 7.11 and run on a personal computer with a 2.5 GHz CPU processor. We stop the iterations if the condition $\|\tilde{F}(p, x^{(k)})\| \leq 10^{-6}$ is satisfied. Table 2 lists the results of this simulation.

In this table, “ n ” denotes the dimension of the matrix M ; “CPU” denotes the computer time used (in second) and k means the total number iterations needed to achieve $\|\tilde{F}(p, x)\| < 10^{-6}$.

We note that starting from each initial point, the method terminates at the solution of the problem successfully. The proposed method can solve large-scale linear complementarity problems efficiently.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we have used the fact that solving the linear complementarity problem is completely equivalent to solving the nonlinear equation $F(x) = 0$ where F is a function from \mathbb{R}^n into itself defined by $F(x) = (M + I)x + (M - I)|x| + q$. For solving this equation we develop an algorithm based on the Shi’s method. We use vector divisions with the secant method, to obtain a globally convergent hybrid algorithm for solving this equation. We start by building a sequence of smooth functions $\tilde{F}(p, x)$ which is uniformly convergent to the function $F(x)$; and we show that the zeros of the sequence of the functions \tilde{F} give a good approximation of the zero of the function F for a fixed value of a parameter p large enough. Some computational experiments are presented to indicate that the method gives good results and that the algorithm can be used for solving large-scale linear complementarity problems efficiently.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the three anonymous referees for their very helpful remarks and comments.

References

- [1] C.E. Lemke, J.J.T. Howson, Equilibrium points of bimatrix games, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 12 (1964) 413–423.
- [2] M.C. Ferris, J.S. Pang, Engineering and economic applications of complementarity problems, *SIAM Rev.* 39 (1997) 669–713.
- [3] N. Rambeerich, D.Y. Tangman, A. Bhuruth, Exponential time integration for fast element solution of some financial engineering problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 224 (2009) 668–678.
- [4] R.W. Cottle, G.B. Dantzig, A life in mathematical programming, *Math. Program.* 105 (2006) 1–8.
- [5] C.E. Lemke, Bimatrix equilibrium points and mathematical programming, *Manage. Sci.* 11 (1965) 681–689.
- [6] H. Samelson, R.M. Thrall, O. Wesler, A partition theorem for Euclidean n -space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9 (1958) 805–807.
- [7] A.W. Ingleton, A problem in linear inequalities, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 16 (1966) 519–536.
- [8] K.G. Murty, On a characterization of P -matrices, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 20 (1971) 378–383.
- [9] K.G. Murty, On the number of solutions to the complementarity problem and spanning properties of complementary cones, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 5 (1972) 65–108.
- [10] L.T. Watson, A variational approach to the linear complementarity problem, Doctoral Dissertation, Dept. of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 1974.
- [11] L.M. Kelly, L.T. Watson, Q -matrices and spherical geometry, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 25 (1979) 175–189.
- [12] R.W. Cottle, J.S. Pang, R.E. Stone, *The Linear Complementarity Problem*, Academic Press, New York, 1992.
- [13] M. Fiedler, V. Ptak, On matrices with non-positive off-diagonal elements and positive principal minors, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 12 (1962) 382–400.
- [14] P.T. Harker, J.S. Pang, Finite-dimensional variational inequality and nonlinear complementarity problems, a survey of theory, algorithms and applications, *Math. Program.* 48 (1990) 161–220.
- [15] M. Kojima, N. Megido, T. Noma, A. Yoshise, A Unified Approach to Interior Point Algorithms for Linear Complementarity Problems, in: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 538, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1991.
- [16] G.M. Cho, M.K. Kim, Y.H. Lee, Complexity of large-update interior point algorithm for $P_*(\kappa)$ linear complementarity problems, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 53 (2007) 101–128.
- [17] G.M. Cho, A new large-update interior point algorithm for $P_*(\kappa)$ linear complementarity problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 216 (2008) 265–278.
- [18] G.Q. Wang, Y.Q. Bai, Polynomial interior-point algorithms for $P_*(\kappa)$ linear complementarity problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 233 (2009) 248–263.
- [19] Y. Elfoutayeni, M. Khaladi, A new interior point method for linear complementarity problem, *Appl. Math. Sci.* 4 (2010) 3289–3306.
- [20] L. Liu, S. Li, A new kind of simple kernel function yielding good iteration bounds for primal–dual interior-point methods, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 233 (2011) 2944–2955.

- [21] M.K. Kim, G.M. Cho, Interior point algorithm for P^* nonlinear complementarity problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 235 (2011) 3751–3759.
- [22] K.G. Murty, *Linear Complementarity, Linear and Nonlinear Programming*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [23] Uwe Schafer, On the modulus algorithm for the linear complementarity problem, *Oper. Res. Lett.* 32 (2004) 350–354.
- [24] W.M.G. Van Bokhoven, *Piecewise-Linear Modelling and Analysis*, Proefschrift, Eindhoven, 1981.
- [25] J.M. Ortega, W.C. Rheinboldt, *Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables*, in: *Classics Appl. Math.*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2000.
- [26] Yixun Shi, Using vector divisions in solving nonlinear systems of equations, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.* 3 (2008) 753–759.
- [27] P.T. Harker, J.S. Pang, A damped-Newton method for the linear complementarity problem, *Lect. Appl. Math.* 26 (1990) 265–284.